IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

JOHN INMAN, #234 821 **

Plaintiff, *

v. * CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:05-CV-526-T (WO)

PRISON HEALTH SYSTEMS, et al.,

Defendants.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action was filed by Plaintiff on June 3, 2005. On July 19, 2005 the court entered an order of procedure which instructed Plaintiff, among other things, to inform the court of any change in his address. (Doc. No. 12.)

The court recently ascertained that Plaintiff is no longer at the most recent address he provided to the court. Consequently, an order was entered on August 24, 2005 directing Plaintiff to provide the court with his present address on or before September 2, 2005. (Doc. No. 18.) Plaintiff was cautioned that his failure to comply with the court's August 24 order would result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed. (*Id.*) Because Plaintiff has filed nothing in response to this order, the court concludes that this case should be dismissed.

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be dismissed without prejudice for Plaintiff's failures to prosecute this action properly and to comply with the orders of this court.

It is further ORDERED that the parties are DIRECTED to file any objections to the

said Recommendation on or before September 26, 2005. Any objections filed must

specifically identify the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation objected to.

Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The

parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore,

it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations in the

Magistrate Judge's report shall bar the party from a de novo determination by the District

Court of issues covered in the report and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual

findings in the report accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain

error or manifest injustice. Nettles v. Wainwright, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). See Stein

v. Reynolds Securities, Inc., 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982). See also Bonner v. City of

Prichard, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981, en banc), adopting as binding precedent all of the

decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed down prior to the close of business on

September 30, 1981.

Done this 14th day of September 2005.

/s/ Delores R. Boyd

DELORES R. BOYD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2